

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,283	12/23/2003	Takeshi Asakura	3673-0163P	7867	
2292	7590 . 06/15/2005		EXAMINER		
	WART KOLASCH &	ONEILL, M	ONEILL, MICHAEL W		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
111225 0110	22010 0711	•	3713	3713	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/743,283	ASAKURA, TAKESHI	ASAKURA, TAKESHI		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Michael O'Neill	3713			

	Michael O'Neill	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th			er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard if the shortened standard if the checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month the part of the part of the property	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must !	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal
AMENDMENTS			
3. 🕅 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		aduaina ar ainmhlifuine	the issues for
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,5 and 7-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 3.	ut before or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will	not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	7 /
13. Other: Same 102(e) rejection will be applied to the abo		ned	MY
<u></u>		Michael O'Neill Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3713	
		01 10	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Re: Lutz et al. fails to disclose calculating positions coordinates, see e.g. col. 9:10-13 where it states: "the computer via software is able to calculate the X,Y and Z positions of each ball image" This calculates position as a position is defined by an x, y, and z coordinate within 3-D space. Re. camera angles are not overlapped, see the drawings where the camera fields of view overlap. Re. two camera are not substantially in the same position behind the ball: one "substantially" is based on one's opinion and two see figure 1 where two camera are substantially behind the ball.